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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Extraction of the impacted mandibular third molar is a common procedure in dentistry. Many complications may arise after this operation, the most common being pain, trismus, and swelling. Systemic medications have been used in an attempt to manage these problems, but because of their side effects, the need for non-medication treatment arises to treat these complications without side effects, such as cryotherapy, ice packs, low-level laser therapy, and ozone. Ozone is one of the most effective antimicrobials used in the dentistry field, and it also has a positive effect on soft tissue healing, activates cellular metabolism, and can react with blood components; for these reasons ozone is used to manage trismus, swelling, and pain after removal of the mandibular third molar. Aim: The purpose of the study was to assess the effects of topical ozone gel on complications from the extraction of the impacted mandibular third molar.

Materials and Methods: Thirty patients were enrolled in the current study and were randomly divided into two equal groups. Preoperatively clinical examination included measurement of facial swelling measurements and maximum mouth opening. The position and configuration of the impacted lower third molar, the surrounding bone, the mandibular canal, and the neighboring tooth were all assessed using a panoramic X-ray. On days 2 and 7, after surgery, the facial swelling dimensions and maximum mouth opening were again assessed. Statistics were used to analyze results.

Results: Findings indicate statistical significance for pain, but not for swelling or mouth opening.

Conclusions: After lower third molar surgery, topical ozone gel helps reduce postoperative pain.
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RESUMEN

Introducción: La extracción del tercer molar mandibular retenido es un procedimiento común en odontología. Pueden surgir muchas complicaciones después de esta operación, siendo las más comunes dolor, trismo e edema. Se han utilizado medicamentos sistémicos en un intento de controlar estos problemas, pero debido a sus efectos secundarios, surge la necesidad de tratamientos sin medicamentos para tratar estas complicaciones sin efectos secundarios, como crioterapia, bolsas de hielo, terapia con láser de baja intensidad y ozono. El ozono es uno de los antimicrobianos más eficaces utilizados en el campo de la odontología, además tiene un efecto positivo en la cicatrización de los tejidos blandos, activa el metabolismo celular y puede reaccionar con los componentes san-guíneos; Por estas razones, el ozono se utiliza para controlar el trismo, la hinchazón y el dolor después de la extracción del tercer molar mandibular. Objetivo: El propósito del estudio fue evaluar los efectos del gel de ozono tópico sobre las complicaciones de la extracción del tercer molar mandibular impactado.

Materiales y Métodos: Se inscribieron treinta pacientes en el estudio actual y se dividieron aleatoriamente en dos grupos iguales. El examen clínico preoperatorio incluyó la medición de la hinchazón facial y la apertura máxima de la boca. La posición y configuración del tercer molar inferior impactado, el hueso circundante, el canal mandibular y el diente vecino se evaluaron mediante una radiografía panorámica. Los días 2 y 7, después de la cirugía, se evaluaron nuevamente las dimensiones de la hinchazón facial y la apertura máxima de la boca. Se utilizaron estadísticas para analizar los resultados.

Resultados: Los hallazgos indican significación estadística para el dolor, pero no para la hinchazón o la apertura de la boca.

Conclusión: Después de la cirugía del tercer molar inferior, el gel de ozono tópico ayuda a reducir el dolor postoperatorio.

Palabras Clave: Tercer molar; Diente impactado; Ozono; Dolor; Trismo; Edema.
INTRODUCTION

One of the most frequent operations in the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery is third molar surgery. However, this intervention warrants precise planning and surgical experience. Complications with surgical operations in general are always possible. Between 2.6 percent and 30.9 percent of third molar extraction, procedures result in complications. The range of possible issues ranges from moderate, normal postoperative pain and swelling to irreversible nerve damage, mandibular fractures, and life-threatening infections.\(^1\,^2\)

The most prevalent third molar surgery complications are limited mouth opening, pain, and edema. Pain reaches maximum intensity between three to five hours after local anesthesia has worn off, continues for two to three days, and gradually reduces until the 7\(^{th}\) day.\(^3\) Swelling reaches peak intensity in 12–48 h, resolving between the 5\(^{th}\) and 7\(^{th}\) day.\(^4\) Trismus subsides when pain and edema decrease.\(^5\) They are regarded as the result of tissue damage or infection-related inflammation. Systemic medications such as antibiotics, glucocorticoids, and analgesics, have been used in attempts to manage these problems and achieve satisfactory postoperative recovery.\(^2\,^6\)

The use of surgical closure procedures with or without the incorporation of drains, cold packs, platelet-rich plasma, platelet-rich fibrin, herbals, and cryotherapy have been recommended as nonpharmacological adjunctive therapies;\(^7\,^12\) but due to their limited effectiveness and accompanying side effects, they have not been widely adopted. Ozone therapy and low-level laser therapy (LLLT) have been introduced as these alternatives over the past 20 years.

The stratosphere of the Earth contains Ozone (O\(_3\)) in its gaseous state, with a molecular weight of 48 grams/mol. As a result of changes in temperature and pressure, it is an unstable gas that is regularly converted into oxygen (O\(_2\)).\(^13\,^14\) Research on this subject supports the use of ozone in the fields of medicine and dentistry. This recommendation is given due to its special effects on the human body, such as its effective antimicrobial action (fungicidal, bactericidal, and virucidal). Other well-known benefits include immunological modulatory and stimulating effects and anti-inflammatory, biosynthetic, anti-hypoxic, and bioenergetics effects.\(^15\,^18\)

Ozone can be applied topically or parenterally\(^19\) and is available as a gas, gel, or liquid.\(^20\) Ozone therapy’s therapeutic success may partly be attributed to the regulated oxidative stress brought on by the reactions of ozone with various biological elements. Ozone can interact with blood components in the right amounts to positively impact oxygen metabolism and cell energy while also triggering antioxidant defense mechanisms.\(^21\) Ozone therapy has been utilized in dentistry to treat temporomandibular joint dysfunction,\(^25\,^26\) endodontic,\(^23\) and periodontal diseases,\(^24\) as well as caries.\(^22\)

Additionally, this treatment has been appli-
ed during maxillofacial surgery to facilitate hemostasis, improve local oxygen delivery, and reduce discomfort following surgery.\textsuperscript{22,28}

In this study, the impact of intra-oral topical ozone therapy was assessed on the postoperative parameters of pain, trismus, and edema as well as patient ozone tolerance. However, the gel form was selected for our study because of advantages,\textsuperscript{29} such as ease of application, the existence of a higher concentration of ozone molecules, and the compound’s stability for a longer time. Ozone gel may be produced and stored without the use of a complicated arsenal, unlike ozone gas. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that aqueous ozone preserves cell biology more effectively than gaseous ozone.\textsuperscript{30} Ozone is present in the gel in the form of ozonides, which release active ozone over an extended time when in contact with a wound surface at the temperature of body.\textsuperscript{31}

**Hypothesis**

**H\textsubscript{0}:** The ozone gel is not-significantly effective in reducing swelling, pain, and trismus following extraction of the mandibular impacted third molar.

**H\textsubscript{1}:** The ozone gel is significantly effective in the reduction of swelling, trismus, and pain following extraction of the lower impacted third molar.

**Aim:** This clinical trial aims to evaluate ozone gel on pain, trismus, and swelling after removal of impacted mandibular third molar.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population**

This study was conducted at the Oral Surgery Department at the College of Dentistry/University of Babil and the Oral Surgery Clinic at the specialized center in Babil government between March and August of 2022. Participants were registered volunteers for the study after signing the informed consent sheet to participate in the research study and submitted to a questionnaire comprising their name, age, gender, medical history, and dental history followed by a complete clinical and radiographic examination.

**Inclusion criteria**

Patients with impacted mandibular third molars (Class II-III and position B, according to Pell and Gregory’s classification) who required surgical extraction, should have good oral hygiene, be free of any acute illnesses, and be between the ages of 18 and 40. They should also be willing to comply with the study and be available for follow-up.

**Exclusion criteria**

Ozone therapy contraindications, systemic illness, local infection, tobacco use, and pregnancy were among the exclusion criteria. All patients signed a written informed consent after being given information regarding the study’s purpose, the specifics of the surgical procedures, any expected complications, and potential adverse effects of the medications being used.

Following surgery, the patients were given Augmentin\textsuperscript{\textregistered} 625 mg (amoxicillin 500mg and
clavulanate 125mg) and Panadol® 500 mg (acetaminophen) as needed.

**Study design**

This blind placebo-controlled randomized prospective clinical study involved 30 patients, 12 male and 18 female, aged between 18 and 36 years, with lower impacted third molars in similar positions (Class II-III and B position, according to Pell and Gregory’s classification). They were randomly assigned to the study and control groups using the coin toss method, divided in two equal groups, with fifteen patients in each.

**Group (1) (study group):** injection of ozone gel (0.5cc) after removal of impacted mandibular third molar.

**Group (2) (control placebo group):** removal of the impacted mandibular third molar followed by 0.5cc of normal saline was injected into the socket as shown in the flow chart (Figure 4).

**At baseline**

1. Radiographic and clinical examination.
2. Measurements of mouth openings were assessed by measuring the maximum distance between the cutting edges of the maxillary and mandibular central incisors. The mouth opening was measured three times using a digital Vernier.
3. Facial measurement, the distances between the lateral corner of the eye and the mandibular angle (line A), the tragus and the outer corner of the mouth (line B), and the tragus and soft tissue pogonion (line C) were measured using a measuring tape and recorded in centimeters (Figure 5).

The average of the three different values of line A, line B, and line C was calculated and reflected the swelling for that day.

The difference between measurements taken before surgery and each postoperative measurement done on the 2nd and 7th days was recorded.

**At first recall**

On the 2nd day, the same measurement at baseline was repeated in addition to the measurement of pain using a numeric rating scale (NRS), the intensity of the pain was estimated. Whose ratings range from zero (absence of pain) to ten (the most severe pain conceivable).

**On the second recall**

On the 7th day, the same measurement on the first recall. Third-molar extractions were performed using local anesthesia, which was obtained by inferior alveolar, lingual, and long buccal nerve block injection using the (lidocaine hydrochloride 2% local anesthetic cartridge 1.8ml with adrenaline 1:80,000 (Septodent®, France).

A three-sided mucoperiosteal flap was then made, and bone was removed using a round bur in a surgical straight handpiece (Castellini®, Germany) with copious saline irrigation to expose the tooth.

Following the extraction of the tooth, the surgical site was adequately irrigated using a normal saline solution (0.9%) then the flap was repositioned, and the wound was stitched. From the incision to the final suturing, the time required for the tooth
extraction was recorded. Patients in the ozone group received 0.5cc of ozonated olive oil gel (purO3, USA) inserted into a disposable syringe with a blunt needle in the socket right away following surgery, whereas those in the control group received 0.5cc of regular saline in disposable syringes.

**Statistical analysis**

Data description, analysis, and presentation were performed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS version 21, Chicago In press, Illionis, USA). Minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation (SD), and standard error (SE) for quantitative

### Table 1. Statistical and descriptive test of age among groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>T-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23.400</td>
<td>5.040</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>18.000</td>
<td>36.000</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23.467</td>
<td>4.658</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>18.000</td>
<td>32.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Descriptive and statistical test of surgical duration among groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>T-test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>35.600</td>
<td>4.852</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>25.000</td>
<td>43.000</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>35.933</td>
<td>7.176</td>
<td>1.853</td>
<td>27.000</td>
<td>56.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Demographic data among groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Ozone</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classificationa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angulationa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesioangulation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distoangulation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sideb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*: Fisher’s exact test. *b*: chi square
### Table 4. Statistical and Descriptive test of Pain among groups and time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>MR1</th>
<th>MR2</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>MR1</th>
<th>MR2</th>
<th>Wilcoxon</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>7th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sign rank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>3.108</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>3.471</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann-Whitney U test</td>
<td>2.704</td>
<td>3.106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 5. p-values and mean values ±SD of mouth opening (measured in millimeters) along the different observation periods for all groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2-day</th>
<th>7th</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>29.400</td>
<td>20.500</td>
<td>25.800</td>
<td>14.324</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>52.000</td>
<td>46.000</td>
<td>46.300</td>
<td>37.433</td>
<td>5.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>40.627</td>
<td>30.633</td>
<td>37.433</td>
<td>7.007</td>
<td>5.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±SD</td>
<td>5.487</td>
<td>7.007</td>
<td>5.195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>26.000</td>
<td>13.100</td>
<td>21.400</td>
<td>24.036</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>50.600</td>
<td>40.900</td>
<td>43.800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>41.040</td>
<td>29.400</td>
<td>36.200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±SD</td>
<td>6.733</td>
<td>8.033</td>
<td>6.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher’s exact test (F)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. p-values and mean values ±SD of facial swelling dimensions (in millimeters) along the different observation periods for all groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2-day</th>
<th>7th</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>316.000</td>
<td>346.000</td>
<td>327.000</td>
<td>48.487</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>367.000</td>
<td>391.000</td>
<td>372.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>343.867</td>
<td>370.267</td>
<td>350.667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±SD</td>
<td>14.701</td>
<td>15.975</td>
<td>14.301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>320.000</td>
<td>341.000</td>
<td>335.000</td>
<td>23.404</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>385.000</td>
<td>385.000</td>
<td>385.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>344.067</td>
<td>364.600</td>
<td>353.800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±SD</td>
<td>16.211</td>
<td>14.247</td>
<td>14.219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher’s exact test (F)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 1:** Pell and Gregory classification of the third molar.

A: Pell and Gregory classes I, II, and III. B: Classification according to occlusal plane.

**Figure 2:** Facial swelling measurement.

A: Pell and Gregory classes I, II, and III. B: Classification according to occlusal plane.

**Figure 3:** Application of Ozone gel (PurO₃, USA) is composed of organic Olea europaea (olive) oil, Stevia rebaudiana (stevia), Cannabis sativa (hemp) seed oil, natural cherry, activated oxygen (ozone), Piperita (peppermint) oil (*Organic Peppermint and stevia flavor).
Figure 4. Study flow chart illustrating the basic steps of the study.

30 patients (30 impacted lower third molar)

Study group (15 impacted lower third molar)

- History, clinical and radiographically examination
- Aneasthesia administration, incision and flap reflection
- Bone removal by surgical handpiece with fissure or round bur
- Subluxation & tooth removal with or without sectioning
- Irrigation, toilet of the extraction socket and suturing
- 0.5 cc of ozone gel inserted into socket
- Postoperative instructions for the patient
- Assessment of the pain, extent of swelling and degree of mouth opening at 2nd & 7th postoperative days

Control group (15 impacted lower third molar)

- 0.5 cc of normal saline inserted into socket
variable while, median, mean rank frequency and percentage for qualitative variable, Fisher exact chi-square, Shapiro Wilk test of normality, Repeated Measure Analysis of variance, Wilcoxon Sign rank test and Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS

Thirty patients with asymptomatic lower impacted third teeth participated in the trial. These had a mean age of 23.4±4.8 years (range, 18–36 years), (Table 1). Thirty tooth extractions were carried out without complications. The average procedure time was 35.92±7.1 min for the control group and 35.6±4.8 min for the ozone group (p>0.05), measuring the duration between the initial surgical incision and the final closure using sutures, (Table 2).

Eleven impacted teeth were classified as cl II and 19 impacted teeth as cl III by Pell and Gregory (p>0.05). Sixteen mesioangulation, eight vertical, three horizontal, and three distoangulation of impacted teeth (p>0.05), (Table 3).

All patients experienced primary healing and no abnormal bleeding throughout the procedures. There was no ecchymosis or hematoma-related alteration in skin color. None of the patients had any negative reactions to the medication or ozone therapy.

Recalled patients had their pain, edema, and trismus assessed. In all assessments (Table 4), the NRS ratings for the ozone group were substantially lesser than those for the control (placebo) group (p-values of 0.039 and 0.016 for the second and seventh postoperative days, respectively). 2 patients in the ozone group did not require analgesics.

Both groups experienced trismus and postoperative edema. There was no noticeable difference between the study group and the control group in terms of trismus (Table 5) and edema (Table 6) on the second and seventh days (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

One of the most effective antimicrobials utilized in the dentistry field is ozone, which is bactericidal, veridical, and fungicidal. The cell membrane is damaged and disrupted, and the intracellular components are broken down and oxidized, all of which have bactericidal effects. In just a few 10–20 seconds, it eradicates 99% of microflora. Reverse transcriptase inhibits the synthesis of proteins of viral in viruses, and it affects cell development negatively in fungi at selective stages. A 3500 times more quickly and effectively than chlorine, ozone may kill and eliminate bacteria. It has rheological characteristics, activates cellular metabolism, and stimulates the expression of cytokines as well as increases intracellular ATP (adenosine triphosphate) concentration.

In particular, the transforming growth factor-B1 (TGF-B1) has a positive impact on the healing of soft tissue injuries. In addition to improving oral soft tissue repair, these growth
factors when activated together with local antioxidant processes, reduce postoperative disturbance and pain.\textsuperscript{42}

A frequent clinical symptom of impacted molar removal surgery is pain. Biochemical mediators of inflammation and pain like serotonin, prostaglandins, bradykinin, and histamine are up-regulated as a result of surgical trauma.\textsuperscript{43} Such post-operative pain is generally treated with analgesics, which have systemic side effects.\textsuperscript{44} However, we found that the NRS scores, which are easily understood by patients and do not require a language translation, accurately indicate post-operative pain. Velio Bocci’s alternative theory, which established the inherent analgesic activity of ozone by stimulating the secretion of vasodilators such as nitric oxide (NO),\textsuperscript{45} identified the mechanism by which pain is reduced.

Additionally, the initial postoperative phase protective layer of ozone gel above the area of surgery may prevent wound contamination and also covers the exposed nerve ends, greatly lowering pain.

The current study’s findings revealed that all groups experienced the most pain during the first two days following surgery. There was a significant difference between the days in each group after that, with the pain score tending to diminish over time until the seventh day.

When comparing to the control (placebo) group, the study group’s pain scores decreased ($p<0.05$) on the second and seventh days.

The results of this study are in agreement with those of Kazancioglu \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{16} Sivalingam \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{20} Silva \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{45} and Bahl \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{53} who showed that ozone can lessen postoperative pain following surgical removal of the mandibular third molar. However, ozone had no beneficial effect on pain, per the findings of research carried out by Gloria \textit{et al.}.\textsuperscript{46}

The results of the current study indicated that ozone had no statistically significant impact on trismus. The difference among the groups was, however, statistically not significant ($p>0.05$). On the 7th postoperative day, patients in the ozone group had nearly reached their preoperative mouth opening value, even though the difference among the groups was statistically insignificant. Within the control group, this was not the case. Ozone’s analgesic and biosynthetic qualities aid in better wound healing, which is why the ozone group returned to healthy mouth opening more quickly than other groups.\textsuperscript{20, 47}

The findings of the present study are consistent with those of Kazancioglu \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{16} who discovered that ozone does not influence trismus. Sivalingam \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{20} Shokry \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{48} and Erdemci \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{49} on the other hand reported an effect. Topical ozone therapy was observed by Sivalingam \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{20} to significantly lessen postoperative trismus after extraction of the lower impacted third molar, resulting in great patient comfort.

According to Schultze-Mosgau \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{50} facial edema was measured in the current study, and no appreciable difference was seen between
the control and study groups. While Alkholy et al., 51 reported no statistically significant difference in trismus between the study and control groups, Yousef et al., 52 and Sivalingam et al., 20 found that there is a beneficial effect of ozone on swelling, in disagreement with the result of this study, which is in agreement with the results reported by Kazancioglu et al., 16 who found there is no beneficial effect of ozone on swelling.

CONCLUSION

Ozone as a supportive therapy may be useful in lowering pain, but it was ineffective in the reduction of trismus and swelling, according to the findings of the current study.

It is impossible to provide a practical suggestion for employing ozone as a supportive therapy to reduce trismus, pain, and swelling in lower third molar extractions due to the limits of this research and the extremely low certainty of the studies’ findings. To increase the certainty of the evidence, more standardized protocols must be established for this therapy.

Future research should therefore be done to clarify the effect of ozone therapy in the practice of oral surgery.
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